







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/608,816	06/30/2000	John Potter	24,576-02 2383		
7	590 12/03/2002				
JOHN F. KLOS FULLBRIGHT & JAWORSKI 225 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			EXAMINER		
			DORSEY, DENNIS		
SUITE 4850 MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55402-4320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		,		John Potter				
		09/608,816 Examiner		Art Unit	()			
		Dennis L Dorsey		3637	Į			
	· - The MAILING DATE of this communication app	·	sheet with the co		ress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	September 2002						
2a)⊠	•	is action is non-fir	nal.					
3)□	, <u> </u>							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	ment.					
Application	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>08 September 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)[X]	•			b) La disapproved t	y the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
<i>,</i> —	•	arimior.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list			d.				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on September 8, 2002 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehbein Patent Number 4,028,858 in view of Clear Patent Number 4,944,127 and Clement Patent Number 3,504,472.

Rehbein '858 teaches all the limitations of the claims except panel with two layers. Rehbein '858 teaches the use of deck blocks (1) to build a deck over substructure or joints (9), panel supports (3) support the panels on the deck, and panels are abutting at the ends to form a deck surface. Clear '127 teaches a flooring panel with a top layer (14) that is inflexible and made of concrete, adhesively secured to a lower flexible layer (18), both layers have substantially the same size, and square in shape (see Figure 1). Clear '127 further teaches that the panels can be manufactured without the tongue and grooves. Clement '472 teaches a flooring substructure with a

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816

Art Unit: 3637

first portion (20) and a second portion (24) for supporting individual premanufactured concrete panels (28).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the all wood block for a two layer panel since it is held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material as taught by Clear '427 for the intended use as a matter of design choice.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the Clement support structure for the Rehbein support since it is held to be within the skill of a worker in the art to select a known support panel as taught by Clement to provide a stronger support when using the two layer panel.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/608,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-

9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for

regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1020.

December 1, 2002

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600